

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington. DC 20231

APPLIC	ATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/	170,168	12/22/99	BOSTON		М	GC538-2
Γ			HM12/0920	٦ [EXAMINER
DEBRA J GLAISTER					SLOBODYANSKY, E	
		ERNATIONAL	INC	[ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	925 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO CA 94304-1013				1652	7
				ι	DATE MAILED:	09/20/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No.

Appropriation

09/470,168

Examiner

Elizabeth Slobodyansky

Group Art Unit

1652

Boston et al.

☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure tapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1-62	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
Application Papers	
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ed to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗀 pproved 🗀 disapproved.
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority to	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	the priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	· ·
received in this national stage application from the	nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	/ under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	(s)
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	8
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
* Say histing Siver Report / Ripes	-#6)
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TI	HE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/470,168

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

This application is a CIP of copending application 09/218,700.

Claims 1-62 are pending.

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-14, 47-51, 57 and 58, drawn to a process for the production of KDG or DKG, classified in class 435, subclass 132.
- II. Claims 15-46, 47-51, 58 and 59-62, drawn to a process for the production of 2-KLG, classified in class 435, subclass 138.
- III. Claims 52-56, drawn to a host cell comprising GHD or KDGHDH, classified in class 435, subclass 252.3.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are patentably distinct because they are directed to materially different methods of making different chemical compounds using different enzymes.

Claims 47-51 and 58 are linking Group I and Group II. They will be examined to the extent they read on the elected Group.

Art Unit: 1652

Invention III is not necessarily used in inventions of Groups I and II and can be used fro the large scale recombinant production of enzymes. Claim 54 is linking claims 52 and 53 and it will be examined to the extent it reads on the elected claim.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their divergent subject matter, fall into different statutory classes of invention, and are separately classified and searched, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Christopher Stone on September 15, 2000 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Drawings

Art Unit: 1652

The drawings filed concurrently with the application have been approved by Draftsman.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Slobodyansky whose telephone number is (703) 306-3222. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, can be reached at (703) 308-3804. The FAX phone number for Technology Center 1600 is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Elizabeth Slobodyansky, PhD

Primary Examiner

September 18, 2000